

March 1938

## Errata

Chicago-Kent Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Chicago-Kent Law Review, *Errata*, 16 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 170 (1938).

Available at: <https://scholarship.kentlaw.iit.edu/cklawreview/vol16/iss2/4>

This Notes is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons @ IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chicago-Kent Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholarly Commons @ IIT Chicago-Kent College of Law. For more information, please contact [dginsberg@kentlaw.iit.edu](mailto:dginsberg@kentlaw.iit.edu).

provision is Section 19<sup>8</sup> in the general act for the establishment of municipal courts in cities and villages and was taken from the Minnesota Code.<sup>9</sup> Thus, decisions of the Minnesota courts<sup>10</sup> should have considerable weight in any controversy arising under this type of provision, and decisions of Illinois courts construing Section 33 should be considered in controversies arising under Section 60.

G. W. MCGURN

---

#### ERRATA

The quotation on page 64 of the December, 1937, issue of the CHICAGO-KENT REVIEW which reads, "Executors and administrators shall be allowed as compensation for their services a sum not exceeding six per centum on the money arising from the sale of real estate, with such additional allowances for costs and charges in collecting and defending the claims of the estate and disposing of the same as shall be reasonable" should read:

"Executors and administrators shall be allowed as compensation for their services a sum not exceeding six per centum on the amount of personal estate, and not exceeding three per centum on the money arising from the sale of real estate, with such additional allowance for costs and charges in collecting and defending the claims of the estate and disposing of the same as shall be reasonable."<sup>1</sup>

<sup>8</sup> Ill. Rev. Stat. 1937, Ch. 37, § 466.

<sup>9</sup> Malleable Iron Co. v. Brennan, 174 Ill. App. 38 (1912).

<sup>10</sup> Minnesota decisions in accord with the principal case are: Schmidt v. Durnham, 50 Minn. 96, 52 N. W. 277 (1892); Pfefferkorn v. Seefeld, 66 Minn. 223, 68 N. W. 1072 (1896); Uhlmann v. Farm Stock & Home Co., 126 Minn. 239, 148 N. W. 102 (1914). Under the same statute South Dakota reached the same result in Langford v. Issenhuth, 28 S. D. 451, 134 N. W. 889 (1912). There are numerous other decisions by the Supreme Court of Minnesota on different questions arising under this provision.

<sup>1</sup> Ill. Rev. Stat. 1937, Ch. 3, § 135.